

# The $p_T$ Distribution of $J/\psi$ in QGP

Xiao-Fei Zhang<sup>a</sup>, Han-Wen Huang<sup>b</sup>, Xue-Qian Li<sup>c,d</sup>,  
and Wei-Qin Chao<sup>a,c</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Institute of High Energy Physics,  
Academia Sinica, P.O.Box 918-4, 100039, P.R.China

<sup>b</sup>Institute of Theoretical Physics, Academia Sinica,  
P.O.Box 2735, Beijing 100080, China.

<sup>c</sup> CCAST (World Laboratory), Beijing, 100080, P.R. China

<sup>d</sup>Department of Physics, Nankai University, Tianjin 300071, China.

## Abstract

We consider the contributions of both color-singlet and octet  $c\bar{c}$  bound states for studying the suppression of  $J/\psi$  production in quark gluon plasma (QGP) and have found that the existence of the color-octet  $c\bar{c}$  may cause the  $p_T$ -distribution of  $J/\psi$  a decrease with  $p_T$  after a critical value  $(p_T)_{max}$ , which is quite different from the behavior in Hadronic Matter (HM).

PACS number(s): 11.30.Er, 13.85.Qk, 12.15.Cc

## I. Introduction

It was expected that a suppression of  $J/\psi$  production in relativistic heavy ion collisions can serve as a clear signature for the formation of a new matter phase Quark Gluon Plasma (QGP) [1]. This suppression effect was observed by NA38 collaboration later [2]. However, successive research pointed out that such suppression could also exist in Hadronic Matter (HM), even though by a completely different mechanism [3]. Therefore it is believed that an observation of mere  $J/\psi$  production rate suppression is not sufficient to confirm QGP formation, and a more thorough study on the characteristics of the  $J/\psi$  suppression is necessary and may lead to final confirmation of QGP state. The  $p_T$  distribution of  $J/\psi$  may be a good candidate, since the different mechanisms which result in  $J/\psi$  suppression in both HM and QGP may provide different  $p_T$  distributions of  $J/\psi$  [4] [5] [6] [7]. Our numerical results confirm this conjecture (see below).

In this work, we discuss the  $p_T$  distribution of  $J/\psi$  in QGP and analyze differences from that in HM.

In principle, the  $J/\psi$  quarkonium is described in a Fock state decomposition

$$|J/\psi\rangle = O(1)|c\bar{c}(^3S_1^{(1)})\rangle + O(v)|c\bar{c}(^3P_J^{(8)})g\rangle + O(v^2)|c\bar{c}(^1S_0^{(8)})g\rangle + O(v^2)|c\bar{c}(^3S_1^{(1,8)})gg\rangle + O(v^2)|c\bar{c}(^3D_J^{(1,8)})gg\rangle + \dots, \quad (1)$$

where  $^{2s+1}L_J^{(1,8)}$  characterizes the quantum state of the  $c\bar{c}$  with color-singlet or octet respectively. This expression is valid for the non-relativistic QCD (NRQCD) framework and the coefficients of each components depend on the three-velocity  $|\vec{v}|$  of the heavy quark, and under the limit of  $|\vec{v}| \rightarrow 0$ , i.e. both  $c$  and  $\bar{c}$  remain at rest, eq.(1) recovers the expression for color-singlet picture of  $J/\psi$  where  $O(1) \equiv 1$ .

The color-octet component  $(c\bar{c})_8$  has been proved to play an important role for interpreting the CDF experimental data [8], (see next section for more detail), therefore one can expect that the color-octet  $(c\bar{c})_8$  would also manifest itself in heavy ion collisions. Since the color-octet can interact with gluons much more strongly than the color-singlet  $(c\bar{c})_1$ , it would dissolve much faster into  $D$  and  $\bar{D}$  than  $(c\bar{c})_1$ , while traversing through a gluon-rich environment such as QGP. Moreover, the linear confinement potential  $\sigma r$  at finite temperature turns out to be in the form

$$\sigma(T)r = \sigma_0 \left( \frac{T_{dec} - T}{T_{dec}} \right)^\delta \theta(T_{dec} - T)r, \quad (2)$$

where  $\delta$  is a parameter. So after  $T > T_{dec}$ , the linear confinement disappears and the Casimir operator  $\langle 8 | \frac{\lambda^a}{2} \frac{\lambda^a}{2} | 8 \rangle = +3 > 0$ , the Coulomb-type potential would become repulsive for an color-octet  $(c\bar{c})_8$ . It means that in QGP  $c$  and  $\bar{c}$  cannot be bound together in an octet, and the original color-octet bound state  $(c\bar{c})_8$  which is produced at the early stage of the collision would dissolve.

In other words, in principle, the color-octet  $(c\bar{c})_8$  does exist in HM, but could not be built up in QGP. However, in our phenomenological study, the main fraction of  $(c\bar{c})_8$  dissolves in QGP, while a small amount remains (see next section).

In this scenario, the  $(c\bar{c})_8$  does not make a substantial contribution in QGP, as it does in  $p\bar{p}$ -collisions where the color-octet  $(c\bar{c})_8$  constitutes the dominant part for large  $p_T$  distribution of  $J/\psi$ . Thus one would expect that the large  $p_T$  contribution of  $J/\psi$  would be more suppressed than the smaller  $p_T$  one in QGP, but this does not occur for HM and we will discuss it in more details later.

In next section, we describe the color-octet scenario borrowed from the  $p\bar{p}$  collisions and discuss a simple but popular model for  $J/\psi$  suppression in QGP. In the third section we present the numerical results, while the last section is devoted to our conclusion and discussion.

## II. Formulation

### (i) The color-octet $J/\psi$ .

It has already been demonstrated that the Fock decomposition form of  $J/\psi$  can be expressed as eq.(1) and the coefficients of each term are functions of the velocities. Calculating the coefficients has been proved to be beyond the present ability, since this is non-perturbative QCD process. In principle, by the information gained in  $p\bar{p}$  collisions, the produced  $c\bar{c}$  can be in either color-singlet or color-octet. According to the newly developed factorization formalism [9], the inclusive  $J/\psi$  production amplitude from the collision of partons a, b can be factorized into short- and long-distance pieces:

$$\mathcal{A}(ab \rightarrow J/\psi + X) = \sum_{(c)L,J} \mathcal{A}(ab \rightarrow c\bar{c}({}^{2s+1}L_J^{(c)})X) \times \mathcal{A}(c\bar{c}({}^{2s+1}L_J^{(c)}) \rightarrow J/\psi). \quad (3)$$

The short-distance part for the hard production of  $c\bar{c}$  pair can be calculated within perturbative QCD. On the other hand, the long-distance component of the hadronization  $c\bar{c}(^{2s+1}L_J^{(c)}) \rightarrow J/\psi$  is a non-perturbative process, which can be calculated by lattice simulation or expressed in terms of some phenomenological parameters.

For the  $p_T$  distribution, the differential cross section reads [10,11]

$$\frac{d\sigma(p\bar{p} \rightarrow J/\psi + X)}{dp_T} = \frac{d\sigma(p\bar{p} \rightarrow (J/\psi)_{octet} + X)}{dp_T} + \frac{d\sigma(p\bar{p} \rightarrow (J/\psi)_{singlet} + X)}{dp_T}, \quad (4)$$

where the subscripts denote different color components of eq.(1). At the large  $p_T$  limit, the main contribution comes from gluon fragmenting into a color-octet  $c\bar{c}$  pair.

It is important to note that in hadron collisions, the non-perturbative process of evolution from the produced  $c\bar{c}$  to  $J/\psi$  is expressed in terms of some phenomenological parameters which are independent of  $p_T$ , whereas in hadron-nucleus and nucleus-nucleus collisions, the hadronization of  $c\bar{c}$  depends on  $p_T$ . In fact, we take the parameters achieved in hadron collision to be given and consider the dependence can be obtained automatically for ion collision situation(see below).

With the understanding, we can assume that the color-singlet and octet  $c\bar{c}$  are produced at early stage of heavy ion collisions and later evolve according to the environment. If the temperature and density have not reached the phase transition, the system remains in HM, but if the phase transition indeed occurs, a QGP phase is formed, thus the  $(c\bar{c})_1$  and  $(c\bar{c})_8$  would have different evolution rules. It is noted that here we assume, the  $c\bar{c}$  are produced at the early stage of the ion collisions through hard N-N collisions when the phase transition has not occurred yet, and then the system would either remains in HM or a new phase QGP is formed at later time. Thus we only need to deal with the evolution of  $(c\bar{c})$ . Namely we ignore the production of  $c\bar{c}$  by the deconfined quarks or gluons in QGP.

(ii) A typical mechanism for suppression of  $J/\psi$  production in QGP.

The  $p_T$  distribution of  $J/\psi$  can be described by a fundamental picture [4] [5]. Due to the Debye screening, the potential between  $c$  and  $\bar{c}$  would undergo a modification from  $V_{Coul} = \frac{\alpha_{eff}}{r}$  into  $\frac{\alpha_s}{r}e^{-r/r_D}$  where  $\alpha_{eff} \propto \alpha_s < 1, 8|\lambda^a \lambda^a|1, 8 >$ , and when  $r_D$  is sufficiently small,  $(c\bar{c})_1$  can no longer form  $J/\psi$ . In addition, as discussed above, due

to the repulsive interaction between  $c\bar{c}$  in octet state in QGP, the  $(c\bar{c})_8$  produced at the early stage of the collision can in principle, never substantialize into  $J/\psi$  in the QGP background if there is enough time or the size of the QGP region is sufficiently large. But we will see, it is not always the case. As for the case in HM case,  $(c\bar{c})_1$  and  $(c\bar{c})_8$  also have possibility to dissolve into  $D\bar{D}$  pairs by scattering with the hadronic matter to reduce the  $J/\psi$  production rate. It is noted that the mechanisms in HM and QGP for the dissolution are different, for the color-singlet and octet they lead to even more apart results.

To concretely calculate the changes, let us take a reasonable and simplified physical picture [4] [5]. Provided that in A-A collisions, the initially produced bound state  $(c\bar{c})_1$  has a very small radius and needs approximately  $\tau_\psi \sim 0.7$  fm to reach the intrinsic size of  $J/\psi$ . Thus the  $(c\bar{c})_1$  bound state of small volume does not suffer from the Debye screening in QGP. In the laboratory frame, due to time dilation, one has  $t_\psi = \tau_\psi \sqrt{1 + (p_T/M)^2}$ , which turns out to be the formation time assuming  $p_z = 0$ . For the case of  $p_z \neq 0$ , this result applies to the transverse distribution. Therefore if the bound state  $(c\bar{c})_1$  emerges from the QGP region, within a time interval  $t_f$  less than  $t_\psi$ , it can ignore the QGP effect and eventually forms  $J/\psi$  meson which can be observed experimentally. There are two models, the static [4] and the plasma expansion [5]. Because the QGP phase is produced at RHIC and evolves very fast, we take the second model to study the  $p_T$  distribution of  $J/\psi$ . For  $(c\bar{c})_8$ , as the potential is repulsive in QGP,  $(c\bar{c})_8$  suffers the effect of QGP almost immediately, so the corresponding time  $t_\psi^{(8)}$  being the time for  $(c\bar{c})_8$  starting to suffer from QGP effect should be much shorter than  $t_\psi$  due to the strong interaction with the gluons in environment.

Introducing  $F_1$  as the ratio of the number of produced  $(c\bar{c})_1$ , which eventually forms  $J/\psi$  to that of the total  $(c\bar{c})_1$  produced in collisions. Similarly, we could also define a ratio  $F_8$  for  $(c\bar{c})_8$ . Obviously

$$\begin{cases} F_1 = 0 & \text{if } t_f \geq t_\psi, \\ F_1 = 1 & \text{if } t_f < t_\psi, \end{cases} \quad (5)$$

where  $t_f$  is the time as  $(c\bar{c})_1$  lingers in QGP. By the Bjorken hydrodynamic model,

$$F_1(p_T) = \frac{\int_0^{R_0} dr \int_0^\pi d\phi r \rho(r) \theta(t_\psi - t_f(r, \phi))}{\pi \int_0^{R_0} dr r \rho(r)}, \quad (6)$$

where  $\rho(r) = (1 - r^2/R_0^2)^b \theta(R_0 - r)$  with  $b=1$  is the initial distribution density of  $(c\bar{c})_1$  which is located at  $\vec{r}$  from the center. It is easy to find that there is a maximum transverse momentum  $(p_T)_{max}$  and one has  $F_1 = 1$  as long as  $p_T \geq (p_T)_{max}$ .

$$(p_T)_{max} = M \sqrt{\left(\frac{t_m}{\tau_\psi}\right)^2 - 1}, \quad (7)$$

where  $t_m$  is the lifetime of the QGP and  $M$  is the mass of the  $J/\psi$ -meson. It is noted that  $F_1 = 1$  as long as  $p_T \geq (p_T)_{max}$ . Actually Eq.(7) only holds in cases where the plasma lives for a time short compared to the average transit time  $t_1$  of a  $c\bar{c}$  in the system. This is because of the finite size effect: a  $(c\bar{c})_1$  with a large  $p_T$  escape the interaction region before it is formed [4]. As has been discussed in Ref. [5], [12], in general, for  $A - A$  collisions the transverse expansion is comparatively small, so that the condition  $t_m < t_1$  is satisfied.

When  $d = v \cdot t_\psi \ll R(t_\psi)$  where  $v$  is the velocity of  $c\bar{c}$ , one can obtain an approximate expression

$$F_1 = \left(\frac{\tau_\psi}{\tau_m} \sqrt{1 + \left(\frac{p}{M}\right)^2}\right)^{\frac{b+1}{a}} \quad (8)$$

with approximately  $a = 1/2, b = 1$  for equal nuclear collision. In this expression, the surface effects are neglected compared to eq.(6). For not too large  $p_T$  and large nuclei, the results by the two expressions are very close [5] [12]. Therefore, we will use this approximate one to make a qualitative discussion on the problem below.

(iii) The effects of  $(c\bar{c})_8$  on the  $p_T$  distribution.

As understood, at the initial stage of the A-A collisions, just in analog to the hadron-hadron collisions, there are both  $(c\bar{c})_1$  and  $(c\bar{c})_8$  produced, by the information gained from hadron collisions, their relative fractions are  $p_T$ -dependent and can be expressed as  $f_1(p_T)$  and  $f_8(p_T)$  respectively (a simplified notation from eq.(1)). They would also make different contributions to the  $p_T$  distribution of  $J/\psi$ . Thus the ratio of the number of  $(c\bar{c})$  which finally become  $J/\psi$  to the total number of originally produced  $(c\bar{c})$  could be expressed as

$$F = F_1(p_T)f_1(p_T) + F_8(p_T)f_8(p_T) \quad (9)$$

where  $F_1$  and  $F_8$  are the corresponding ratios for  $(c\bar{c})_1$  and  $(c\bar{c})_8$  respectively as defined above.

We have argued that since  $(c\bar{c})_8$  is colored, it interacts strongly with gluons in the environment of QGP and can dissolve much faster than  $(c\bar{c})_1$  which is color-blind. One can expect that the  $(c\bar{c})_8$  does not contribute to  $p_T$  distribution much, namely  $F_8 \ll F_1$  in QGP. Moreover, if the potential picture given in eq.(1) is valid,  $(c\bar{c})_8$  cannot survive in QGP after all, thus  $F_8 = 0$ , if the time the  $(c\bar{c})_8$  needs to traverse cross the QGP region is very long, there would be no  $(c\bar{c})_8$  contribution to  $J/\psi$ . However, this has only a statistical meaning. For a finite size of the region, there should be fluctuation that a small fraction of  $(c\bar{c})_8$  emerging out. Anyhow, all models we have so far are phenomenological and are not derived from the first principle of QCD, therefore one can expect some small declination from that determined by the model. So even though unable to derive  $F_8$ , we can phenomenologically choose some reasonable ratios as  $F_8 = F_1/2$ ,  $F_1/10$  and  $F_8 = 0$  for our numerical computations.

### III. The numerical results

With our simple model and phenomenological choice of  $F_8$ , we have calculated the  $p_T$  distribution of  $J/\psi$ . The numerical results are depicted in Fig.1.

Because the  $(p_T)_{max}$  (see eq.(7)) depends on the formation time of  $J/\psi$  and the lifetime of the QGP, its estimation cannot be accurate in our present knowledge, so that we take it as a free parameter and the only one in the whole calculation. Thus one can notice that the position of  $(p_T)_{max}$  is fixed just because we take it as a parameter and everything is scaled with this value. We have found that changes of  $(p_T)_{max}$  do not affect our qualitative conclusion that we predict an increase of  $F$  with increase of  $p_T$  at  $p_T < (p_T)_{max}$ , but a linear decrease with further increase of  $p_T$  after  $p_T \geq (p_T)_{max}$ . The choices of the ration  $F_8/F_1$  also do not affect our qualitative conclusion.

More discussions will be given in next section.

### IV. Conclusion and discussion

We employ a simple model to estimate the  $p_T$  distribution of  $J/\psi$  which emerges from a QGP region. The data of hadron collisions indicate that the  $(c\bar{c})_8$  component is not negligible and especially plays a crucial role for the large  $p_T$  distribution of produced  $J/\psi$ . It motivates us to consider effects of the  $(c\bar{c})_8$  component on the  $p_T$  distribution of  $J/\psi$  in relativistic heavy ion collisions, especially when the excited region turns into the QGP phase. Indeed,  $(c\bar{c})_8$  produced at the early stage of collision would have a completely different behavior of evolution in QGP and HM. It is easy to understand because QGP phase provides a gluon-rich environment which strongly interacts with the color-octet  $(c\bar{c})_8$  while there are no free gluons in the HM background, thus the interaction is much weaker. Therefore the  $p_T$  distribution may be taken as a signature of QGP formation.

Since the mechanism for  $J/\psi$  suppression in QGP is obviously simpler than that in HM, so we first study the  $p_T$  distribution of  $J/\psi$  emerging from the QGP region by calculating the  $F$ -value while only keep a qualitative analysis of corresponding observation for HM.

Our numerical results and qualitative arguments all indicate that as  $p_T > (p_T)_{max}$   $F$ -value decreases with  $p_T$  and it is in contrary to the behavior of  $F$  at HM where  $F$  always increases with  $p_T$ . That is significant. It is believed that in the previous  $O - U$  and  $S - U$  experiments, the energy scale is not high enough to produce a QGP state, and then the observed  $F$ -value indeed keeps increasing with an increase of  $p_T$ . The hadron picture gives a satisfactory description to the result [12] [13], so it confirms that a turning over of the dependence of  $F$  on  $p_T$  may signify a QGP formation.

An unusual suppression of  $J/\psi$  has been observed at present  $Pb - Pb$  collision experiment and there have been some discussions about the possible mechanisms [14]. Based on our model, further measurement of the  $p_T$ -dependence of the  $J/\psi$  suppression may provide additional information about the formation of QGP.

As discussed above, the suppression of  $J/\psi$  production also occurs at HM, so the phenomenon is hard to be taken as a clear signature of QGP, however, a detailed analysis on its characteristics may do the job. The produced  $(c\bar{c})_1$  and  $(c\bar{c})_8$  evolve according to different ways in HM and QGP, so that the  $p_T$  distribution of  $J/\psi$



determined by their contributions would be different in two matter phases. One can optimistically hope that an analysis of the  $p_T$  distribution of  $J/\psi$ , especially the dependence of  $F$ -value on  $p_T$  may inform us of existence of QGP.

However, to make a convincing conclusion, one should do a quantitative estimation of  $F$ -value at HM and QGP and this is our goal of next work [15]. We believe that we cannot confirm the QGP formation even though we observe an  $F$ -decrease with  $p_T$  unless we have a thorough study on its behavior at HM. All these not only require more theoretical work which we will publish elsewhere, but also demand more accurate data which will be given by RHIC experiments.

## Acknowledgments

This work was supported in part by the National Natural Science Foundation of China.

## REFERENCES

- [1] T. Matsui and H. Satz, Phys.Lett. B178 (1986) 416; T. Matsui, Z.Phys. C38(1988)245.
- [2] The NA38 Collaboration, Z.Phys. C38(1988)17; Phys.Lett. B220(1988)471; B255(1991)255; Nucl.Phys.A544(1992)209c.
- [3] A. Cappella, C. Merino and J. Tran Thanh Van, Phys.Lett. B243 (1990)243; P. Koch, U. Heinz and J. Pisut, ibid. 243 (1990)149; S. Gao et al., Phys.Rev.C55 (1997)828.
- [4] F. Karsch and R. Petronzio, Phys.Lett. B193 (1987)105.
- [5] J.P. Blaizot and J. Oliitrant, Phys.Lett. B199 (1987)499.
- [6] S. Gavin and R. Vogt, hep-ph/9610432.
- [7] D. Kharzeev, M. Nardi and H.Satz, hep-ph/9702273.
- [8] E.Braaten and S.Fleming, Phys.Rev.Lett.74 (1995)3327.
- [9] G.T.Bodwin, E.Braaten, and G.P.Lepage, Phys.Rev. D51 (1995)1125.
- [10] P.Cho and A.K.Leibovich, Phys.Rev. D53 (1996)150.
- [11] P.Cho and A.K.Leibovich, Phys.Rev. D53 (1996)6203.
- [12] J. P. Blaizot and J. Y. Olitrault, Phys. Rev. D39 (1989)232
- [13] J. Hufner, Y. Kurihara and H. J. Pirner Phys. Lett. B (1988)218; S. Gavin and M. Gyulassy, Phys. Lett. B214 (1988)241; J.P. Blaizot and J. Oliitrant, Phys.Lett. B217 (1989)386.
- [14] J. P. Blaizot and J. Y. Olitrault Phys. Rev. Lett.77(1996)1703; C.-Y. Wong, hep-ph/9607285.
- [15] X.F. Zhang et al. in preparation.

### Figure Captions

Fig.1, the dependence of the  $F$ –values on  $p_T$ , where different curves correspond to various ratios of  $F_8/F_1$  which are phenomenologically introduced. The  $(p_T)_{max}$  is the only parameter in the scenario which is discussed in context.

This figure "fig1-1.png" is available in "png" format from:

<http://arXiv.org/ps/hep-ph/9707288v1>